DRAFT TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 1
CALIFORNIA URBAN WATER AGENCIES
STUDY OF DRINKING WATER QUALITY IN DELTA TRIBUTARIES
July 8, 1993

This draft technical memorandum (TM) was prepared as background information for the Project Advisory Committee (PAC) members for the July 14, 1993 PAC meeting. The memorandum will be revised to incorporate PAC comments on management alternatives, contaminant sources, and contaminants of concern.

Our proposed approach to this study differs slightly from the scope of work presented in the Invitation for Proposal. Rather than waiting until Phase 2 to identify potential management alternatives for improving Delta Tributary drinking water quality, we propose to identify potential management alternatives early in the study. We believe this will quickly focus attention on gathering and evaluating meaningful data pertinent to the possible management alternatives.

This draft TM expands on the management alternatives Brown and Caldwell proposed to examine in our proposal to conduct this study. This expansion consists of relating the contaminant sources, contaminants of concern, existing monitoring programs, and desired sampling locations to the management alternatives and also identifying hydrologic, seasonal, and other issues relevant to the alternatives.

POTENTIAL MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES, CONTAMINANT SOURCES AND CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN

The following potential management alternatives have been identified by our project team based on past experience with Delta and Delta tributary water quality studies: We request that the PAC members review the management alternatives we have identified and bring any additional ideas to the PAC meeting. These alternatives are intended to guide the data collection

and evaluation efforts. After collecting the data and evaluating the impacts on drinking water quality, the list of alternatives will be revisited and discussed with the PAC. If the Phase 2 work is authorized, the final list of alternatives generated jointly with the PAC will be evaluated.

1. Rerouting Colusa Basin Drain and Sacramento Slough agricultural drainage to Yolo Bypass or the Toe Drain.

Rationale--The Colusa Basin Drain carries approximately 30 percent and Sacramento Slough contributes 60 percent of the agricultural drainage discharged to the Sacramento River upstream of Verona. Rerouting this drainage could potentially improve drinking water quality at most of the Sacramento River and Delta benchmark locations (Clifton Court, Tracy Pumping Plant, Sacramento River at Greene's Landing, Sacramento River at Verona).

Contaminant Source--Agricultural drainage upstream of the Delta.

Contaminants of Concern--DBP precursors, TOC, UV₂₅₄ microbiological contaminants, nutrients, arsenic, TDS, herbicides, and pesticides.

Existing Monitoring Programs--

- A. Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and Department of Fish and Game studies on the Colusa Basin Drain.
- B. Department of Water Resources data on Natomas East Main Drainage Canal.

Desired Monitoring Locations--

A. Sacramento River upstream of Colusa Basin Drain discharge (upstream of Feather River confluence).

B. Colusa Basin Drain and/or Sacramento Slough.

reductions at the Banks Pumping Plant.

C. Sacramento River at Verona.

Related Issues--

A. Impact in the south Delta. The Yolo Bypass and Toe Drain discharge to sloughs which discharge to the Sacramento River just above Rio Vista and below the Delta Cross Channel. Water moving through the east Delta to the south Delta pumps will not contain any of the Toe Drain/Yolo Bypass discharge. Some percentage of the Yolo Bypass or Toe Drain discharge, however, will be diverted around the west Delta to the south Delta as a result of flow reversal of the San Joaquin River. This needs to be taken into account in terms of estimating load

B. Impact in the north Delta. The Lindsey and Barker Slough system, which provides water to the North Bay Aqueduct pumping station, is in the pathway of the Yolo Bypass and Toe Drain. Currently, some percentage of the Yolo Bypass and Toe Drain discharges will mix with the North Bay Aqueduct source water. Increased loads at the North Bay Pumping Plant from the Yolo Bypass/Toe Drain alternative need to be evaluated and measures to reduce or eliminate mixing of the Yolo Bypass discharge with the North Bay source water will need to be considered.

C. Seasonal issues. Colusa Basin Drain and Sacramento Slough flows vary seasonally - both with the rain year season and with the irrigation season. Yolo Bypass operates during the rain season. Toe Drain carries dry season discharges. Seasonal flow patterns will need to be included in the evaluation of this alternative.

2. Rerouting Delta Agricultural Drainage.

Rationale--Improvements in drinking water quality upstream of the Delta may have little impact on drinking water quality exported from the Delta due to the impacts of Delta agricultural drainage and seawater intrusion. The impact of diverting Delta island drainage (all or some) to a point in San Francisco Bay was evaluated in 1989 in the Delta Drinking Water Quality Study. This alternative should be reevaluated in light of the extensive information that has been gathered on Delta agricultural drainage and seawater intrusion since 1989.

The Municipal Water Quality Investigations Program (formerly Delta Islands Drainage Investigation and Interagency Delta Health Aspects Monitoring Program) has an extensive database on the water quality of the Delta channels, export pumping plant intakes, and drain water discharged from Delta islands. Monitoring continues to show high Total Trihalomethane Formation Potential (TTHMFP) concentrations and high DOC levels in local island drainages, especially from peat islands. Comparisons of water quality at upstream stations such as the American River and Greene's Landing to stations in the central and southern Delta such as those along Old River, show progressive increases in TTHMFP and DOC as water moves south into the interior Delta. Based on old drainage volume estimates (1954-55), Delta island drainage would be a major source of precursors of TTHMFP and likely other DBPs. New monitoring data confirm earlier preliminary findings that drainage has detrimental impacts on the types of water treatment necessary to make water taken from the Delta safe. The MWQI Program also has synoptic monitoring data that tracks the input of bromide into the Delta and transport into the SWP and CVP. This alternative will be reevaluated with the more recent data to refine estimates on the contribution of contaminants of concern from Delta island drainage and seawater intrusion.

Contaminant Source--Delta island drainage and seawater intrusion.

Contaminants of Concern--DBP precursors (high in humics), TOC/DOC, UV₂₅₄ bromide, nutrients, TDS, sodium, microbiological contaminants, agricultural chemicals, and arsenic. Agricultural chemicals refer to herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, and their residue

byproducts.

Existing Monitoring Programs--

A. The MWQI Program monitors over 40 channel stations and more than 30 drain

pumping plant stations. The Program includes estimates of drainage volume, tidal

variation studies, and a study of daily variations at a drain site.

Desired Monitoring Locations--

A. Sacramento River at Greene's Landing.

B. Delta Island drains.

C. Delta channels.

D. San Joaquin River at Vernalis.

E. Banks Pumping Plant.

Related Issues--

A. Drainage volume data is key to assessing the impact of drainage on Delta water

TTHMFP, DOC, and other constituents in relation to other sources. DWR's plans to

obtain additional flow information and alternative approaches to estimating drainages

need to be considered. Educated guesses about unsampled drains and islands may be

necessary.

- B. Best Management Practices. If there are studies planned or underway to see what farming operations (e.g., winter flooding of fields) or schedules could be modified to reduce TTHMFP and DOC in drainage discharges, they should be considered.
- C. Delta Wetlands. There is a question as to whether the alternative to reroute drain water should include the removal of water created from wetland projects. If so, information on these types of projects from the proposed Delta Wetlands Project and other studies (if any) should be considered.

3. Diverting the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant (SRWTP) Effluent.

Rationale--The SRWTP currently discharges 150 million gallons per day (MGD) of secondary treated effluent to the Sacramento River and has a design capacity of 181 mgd. One possible method of improving the drinking water quality of the Sacramento River at Greene's Landing is to divert the SRWTP effluent further downstream in the Sacramento River below the Delta Cross Channel. Another potential alternative would be to completely remove it from the Sacramento River by diverting the effluent to a wetlands treatment area or reclamation facility. Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District is currently studying this second alternative. Sacramento County Wastewater Reclamation Study scoping sessions have indicated agricultural use of reclaimed wastewater in the southern part of the County offers the best reclamation opportunities. A 5 million gallon per day (mgd) filtration plant is currently under design to treat wastewater effluent which will be used for landscape irrigation. Additionally, a demonstration wetlands is under construction which will use 1 mgd of treated wastewater effluent. The intent is to have the wetlands discharge to Laguna Creek and Stone Lakes Wildlife Refuge. Some reclaimed wastewater from the wetlands may eventually reach the Delta.

Contaminant source--Wastewater discharge.

Contaminants of Concern--DBP precursors, UV₂₅₄ microbiological contaminants, nutrients, arsenic, and TDS.

Existing Monitoring Programs--

- A. Sacramento Coordinated Monitoring Program.
- B. Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant ERWQA.

Desired Monitoring Locations--

- A. Upstream of Freeport on the Sacramento River.
- B. SRWTP effluent.
- C. Downstream of Freeport on the Sacramento River.
- D. Sacramento River at Greene's Landing.

Related Issues--

- A. Impact in the South Delta. Water moving through the Delta Cross Channel to the south Delta pumps will not contain SRWTP effluent if the effluent is discharged to the Sacramento River downstream of the Cross Channel. Some percentage of the effluent, however, will be diverted around the west Delta to the south Delta. This needs to be taken into account in terms of estimating load reductions at the Banks Pumping Plant.
- 4. Best management practices to improve urban runoff quality.

Rationale--Urban runoff from the Sacramento urban area is currently regulated by a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit. As a permit compliance measure, Sacramento is developing and implementing best management practices to reduce urban runoff pollutant loads and mitigate the effect of continued development. The impact

of these best management practices on contaminant loads in the south Delta would be evaluated under this management alternative.

Contaminant Source--Urban runoff.

Contaminants of Concern--Coliforms, other microbiological contaminants, TSS, nutrients, TDS, arsenic, DBP precursors UV₂₅₄.

Existing monitoring programs--

A. Sacramento Stormwater NPDES Compliance Monitoring Program

Desired Monitoring Locations--

- A. Sacramento River at Verona.
- B. Sacramento River upstream of Sacramento.
- C. Sacramento storm drain discharges.
- D. Sacramento River downstream of Sacramento.
- E. Sacramento River at Greene's Landing.

Related Issues--

A. Ability to measure reductions in existing discharges. The ability to measure reductions in contaminants due to best management practices implemented in developed areas is extremely difficult due to several factors including: (1) the high natural degree of variability in the contaminant concentrations in urban runoff, and (2) the predominant

use of source control best management practices in developed areas (such as public education) the effectiveness of which is not directly measurable.

B. Ability to measure reductions in developing areas. The preferred best management

practice in developing areas is the dry detention basin. These basins will primarily

remove sediment and the metals and other compounds adsorbed onto the sediment.

In Sacramento County, these basins are in the planning stage and the actual pollutant

reduction is currently unknown.

C. This fall, the Sacramento Stormwater NPDES Annual Monitoring Report will contain

a comprehensive analysis of the three years' of data in terms of its application to the

best management practices being developed.

5. Eliminating combined sewer overflows from the City of Sacramento

Rationale--The City is in the process of responding to a Cease and Desist Order (CDO)

issued by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) that

required the prevention of combined sewer overflows (CSOs) to the Sacramento River.

The Regional Board revised the CDO on October 22, 1992 allowing the City to conduct a

public Health Risk Assessment of outflows from the sewer system. This risk assessment

has the primary goal of reducing outflows to City streets from the combined sewer system.

The impact of the project on overflows to the Sacramento River will be defined within the

next two years. Water quality monitoring is also a part of the project. The alternatives to

consider include no action if no significant quality impacts are found, reduction of CSOs

to comply with the national CSO strategy (recently released) or total elimination of CSOs

by completely separating the City's sanitary and storm drainage sewers.

Contaminant Source--Wastewater discharge.

Contaminants of Concern--Microbiological contaminants, TDS, DBP precursors, UV₂₅₄

nutrients, and arsenic.

Draft--July 8, 1993

E:\7703\CORRESP\TECH-MEM.1

Existing Monitoring Programs--

A. CSO Sampling Program.

Desired Monitoring Locations--

- A. Sacramento River at Verona.
- B. Sacramento River upstream of the CSO discharge locations.
- C. CSO discharge locations: Pioneer Reservoir, City of Sacramento Sump 2, and City of Sacramento Main Plant.
- D. Sacramento River downstream of the CSO discharge locations.
- E. Sacramento River at Greene's Landing.

Related Issues--

A. CSO discharges occur during periods of heavy rainfall when the Sacramento Combined Sewer System is unable to treat all of the combined wastewater and stormwater at the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant. Flows in the Sacramento River are typically, although not always, high during these events.

OTHER MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES

The management of Delta tributary streams (Consumnes, Calaveras, and Mokelumne Rivers) and their drainage was identified in the Invitation for Proposal, but is not addressed in the management alternatives discussed above. Brown and Caldwell has not currently identified

applicable management alternatives for those streams that might affect water quality in the Delta export water but invite ideas from the PAC on this alternative.